

W.C. Kennard · R.L. Phillips · R.A. Porter
A.W. Grombacher

A comparative map of wild rice (*Zizania palustris* L. $2n=2x=30$)

Received: 25 August 1998 / Accepted: 20 February 1999
(Corrected version. Originally published in TAG 99:793–799)

Abstract We present the first genetic map of wild rice (*Zizania palustris* L., $2n=2x=30$), a native aquatic grain of northern North America. The map is composed principally of previously mapped RFLP (restriction fragment length polymorphism) genetic markers from rice (*Oryza sativa* $2n=2x=24$). The map is important as a foundation for genetic and crop improvement studies, as well as a reference for genome organization comparisons among Gramineae species. A comparative mapping approach with rice is especially useful because wild rice is grouped in the same subfamily, Oryzoideae, and no other mapping comparison has yet been made within the subfamily. As rice is the reference point for mapping and gene cloning in cereals, establishing a consensus map within the subfamily identifies conserved and unique regions. The genomes of wild rice and rice differ in total DNA content (wild rice has twice that of rice) and chromosome pairs (wild rice=15 versus rice=12). The wild rice linkage map reported herein consists of 121 RFLP markers on 16 linkage groups spanning 1805 cM. Two linkage groups consist of only two markers. Colinear markers were found representing all rice linkage groups except #12. The majority of rice loci mapped to colinearly arranged arrays in wild rice (92 of 118). Features of the map include duplication of portions of three rice

linkage groups and three possible translocations. The map gives basic information on the composition of the wild rice genome and provides tools to assist in the domestication of this important food source.

Key words RFLPs · Synteny · *Oryza* · Oat · Map

Introduction

Wild rice (*Zizania palustris* var. *interior* L.), the native aquatic grain of North America, is an annual diploid with a chromosome number of $2n=2x=30$ (see Elliott 1980). Wild rice genetics and breeding are in their infancy. Wild rice is a highly heterogeneous and heterozygous crop (Elliott 1980). Progress in breeding and genetics has been difficult due to seed storage problems, inbreeding depression, outcrossing propensity, and intense labor requirements. Wild rice is a crop in transition from a wild to domesticated form having been harvested by the Ojibway, Menomini, and Cree Native American tribes for centuries in the upper midwest (Oelke et al. 1982). Wild rice is a crop that is currently cultivated in the United States primarily in Minnesota and California under a paddy crop management system (Oelke et al. 1997). Cultivated wild rice contributed \$21 million dollars to the 1997 United States agricultural economy (Oelke, personal communication) and consumption worldwide has steadily risen. Much remains to be learned about the genetics and breeding of wild rice.

Construction of genetic linkage maps of Gramineae species is being facilitated by the widespread colinearity of markers among the grass genomes (Bennetzen and Freeling 1993). Comparative maps within the Gramineae include rice vs maize (Ahn and Tanksley 1993), barley (Saghai Maroof et al. 1996), wheat (Van Deynze et al. 1995a), oat (Van Deynze et al. 1995b), and millet (Devos et al. 1998). These maps have indicated a high degree of conservation of the presence and linear order of markers. Thus, markers exhibiting colinear relationships among these comparisons should have a high likelihood of exhibiting colinearity be-

Communicated by M.A. Saghai Maroof

R.L. Phillips (✉)
Department of Agronomy and Plant Genetics,
University of Minnesota, 411 Borlaug Hall,
1991 Upper Buford Circle, St. Paul, Minnesota 55108, USA
e-mail: phill005@tc.umn.edu
Tel.: +1(612)-625-1213, Fax: +1(612)-625-1268

R.A. Porter
University of Minnesota North Central Experiment Station,
Grand Rapids, MN 55744, USA

Present addresses:
A.W. Grombacher, Pioneer Hi-Bred, Edmonton, Alberta,
T6W1A3, Canada

W.C. Kennard, Monsanto Co., 3302 S.E. Convenience Blvd.,
Ankeny, IA 52001, USA

tween rice and wild rice. Rice is becoming the "pivotal genome" for mapping and gene cloning among all cereals: the high basic chromosome number allows for alignments and comparisons (Moore et al. 1995), the small genome size allows for efficient physical mapping and sequencing (Izawa and Shimamoto 1996; Briggs and Helentjaris 1997), and the availability of high-density maps provides provide many candidate markers for reference points (Causse et al. 1994; Harushima et al. 1998). Colinearity is especially expected between wild rice and rice, since they are taxonomically grouped in the subfamily Oryzoideae and tribe Oryzeae (Gould and Shaw 1983; Duvall et al. 1993). Genome rearrangements as detected by comparative mapping with rice are becoming useful tools to understand grass evolution (Kellogg 1997). Since rice is a reference point for comparative mapping, comparisons of wild rice to *Oryza* sp. would be especially useful to understand unique and more-ancestral genome organization in the Oryzoideae. A comparative map will be especially useful for genetics research and marker-assisted breeding as genome conservation of expressed genes has been demonstrated (Ahn and Tanksley 1993) and QTLs for traits associated with domestication have been mapped to consensus regions among Gramineae species (Paterson et al. 1995). A comparative map framework will facilitate mapping trait loci in rice and other grass species in wild rice. Wild rice is particularly poised to reap these benefits because it is just beginning to be domesticated and is the most closely related genus of agronomic value to rice.

Materials and methods

Plant materials and population generation

The mapping population was developed from a cross of a single plant of the cultivated variety Johnson to a single plant from a natural lake population, Dora Lake, Minn. The mapping population includes 172 F₂ individuals derived from the self-pollination of a single F₁ plant. DNA was isolated from individuals in the mapping population according to the modification of a CTAB procedure (Murray and Thompson 1980). Restriction digestion, gel electrophoresis, and Southern blotting were performed according to standard protocols (UMC RFLP Manual 1989; Sambrook et al. 1992).

Detection of informative probes and linkage analysis

Probes used in the construction of rice maps are of rice, oat, barley, and maize origin, and are predominantly cDNAs (McCouch et al. 1988; Coe et al. 1993; Causse et al. 1994; Kurata et al. 1994). Sets of probes used in the construction of rice maps are designated as follows: "Anchor" and "Core" Sets from Cornell University, Ithaca, New York=rice cDNA (RZ), oat cDNA (CDO), barley cDNA (BCD), and rice genomic probes (RG); "Landmarker Sets 1 & 2" from the Rice Genome Project at the National Institute of Agrobiological Resources, Tsukuba, Japan, included rice cDNA (C) and rice genomic probes (G). The anchor probes received from Cornell University have been specifically chosen for strong hybridization to the DNA of different Gramineae genera (maize, rice, wheat, barley, sorghum, and sugar cane). A small number of maize cDNA (UMC; Coe et al. 1993) and wild rice genomic probes (PAWG; Grombacher et al. 1996) were also used in map construction. DH5 α -competent cells were transformed with probe plasmid DNAs and grown in nutrient broth; plasmids were

extracted, then restricted with appropriate enzymes or used in PCR amplifications. Digests of plasmids or PCR amplifications were electrophoresed into low-melting-point agarose, inserts excised, and radio-labeled via random hexamer priming (Feinberg and Vogelstein 1984). Probes were characterized according to signal strength, detection of discrete bands, and polymorphism. Probes were hybridized to blots at 65°C in 5 \times SSC, 10% Dextran sulfate, 10 mM Tris pH 8.0, 10 mM EDTA, 0.1% SDS for more than 14 h; blots were subsequently washed in 30-min. stages of 2 \times SSC (room temperature), 2 \times SSC (65°C), 0.5 \times SSC (room temperature), 0.5 \times SSC (65°C). Polymorphisms were assessed among a random sample of 6–8 F₂ individuals of the mapping population using the four enzymes *Dra*I, *Eco*RI, *Eco*RV and *Hind*III for all probes evaluated. The number of enzymes was increased to eight by the addition of *Bam*H1, *Kpn*1, *Xba*I and *Xho*I for those probes in which polymorphism was not detected using the four initial enzymes.

Probes detecting RFLPs among parents and shown to segregate in a subset of the Johnson \times Dora Lake F₂ mapping population were used to evaluate the entire 172-individual mapping population. The individuals were scored for the three classes of genotypes (homozygous Johnson parent, homozygous Dora Lake parent, and heterozygous). Assignments of allele parentage were based on five related individuals from the same seed lot since DNA from the exact parents was not available. Distortion of segregation ratios was tested with the computer program LINKAGE-1 (Suiter et al. 1983).

Detection of linkage and recombination distances was achieved using the multipoint linkage analysis software MAPMAKER 3.0 (Lincoln et al. 1992; Lander et al. 1981). Mapmaker 3.0 was employed using commands group (LOD 3.0, REC 0.4) and order. Markers not clearly ordered were placed by ripple, compare, and try commands. Linkages determined by MAPMAKER 3.0 were confirmed with the two-point analyses of LINKAGE-1. Linkage distances were compared to rice maps to assess regions of colinearity (*Oryza sativa* \times *Oryza longistaminata*, Causse et al. 1994 and *Oryza sativa* subspecies *japonica* (Nipponbarre) \times *indica* (Kasalath) Kurata et al. 1994). Markers in wild rice that were present together in a linkage group and in the same sequence as in rice were deemed colinear if they were in groups of three or more.

Results and discussion

DNA and probe cross-hybridization

Total wild rice DNA was used as a probe in Southern hybridization to different Gramineae genera: rice, oat, barley, wheat and maize (Fig. 1). There was a strong hybridization signal with rice, but relatively little with oat, barley, wheat or maize. Thus, some repetitive sequences appear conserved among wild rice and rice species but with relatively little conservation to the more distantly related grass species. The dramatically greater hybridization of wild rice DNA to rice reflects the taxonomic classification, as genera in the Oryzoideae appear more closely related to each other than to those genera in either the Poideae (oat, barley, wheat) or the Panicoideae (maize) grass subfamilies.

Heterologous cDNA probes are generally useful as markers in wild rice. The majority of cDNA probes from the various species detected restriction fragments in wild rice. Of 326 different cDNA probes screened to detect segregation in the mapping population, 248 (76%) gave strong hybridization patterns to discrete RFLP fragments and 156 (48%) exhibited polymorphism using four en-

zymes. Of the heterologous probes evaluated (Table 1), rice cDNA probes exhibited the highest frequency of signal detection (92%), while oat cDNA probes exhibited the highest frequency of polymorphism (75%). For those probes not detecting polymorphism, we screened with an additional four enzymes. Only 23% of the probes that were polymorphic for a particular enzyme were polymorphic for all four of the enzymes (*DraI*, *EcoRI*, *EcoRV*, *HindIII*). Thus, polymorphism was generally probe/enzyme specific, indicating point mutations as the

basis of the polymorphism. A cross-hybridizing signal was detected at a slightly greater frequency with rice cDNAs (92%) than with oat cDNAs (85%) or barley cDNAs (75%), perhaps reflecting the greater relatedness between these species. Rice cDNAs from the two sources, Anchor Set and Core Sets (Cornell University) and from the Rice Genome Project (Tskuba, Japan), gave similar frequencies of polymorphism.

Genomic probes from rice are less useful than cDNAs for detecting markers in wild rice. Of 56 *PstI* genomic probes screened from both Core and Landmarker Sets, only 32 (57%) detected a strong signal after >5-day exposure. Of the genomic probes that provided a signal, only ten (31%) detected discrete banding patterns, while the majority hybridized as non-discrete smears. A given rice genomic sequence is most likely sufficiently divergent to hybridize less efficiently under moderately high stringency.

We initially suspected that the wild rice genome may have undergone widespread duplication or polyploidization on the basis of a greater number of RFLP fragments and an estimated DNA content double that of rice. Most probes hybridized to a greater number of fragments in wild rice than rice. The average number of RFLP fragments per probe detected in F_2 individuals of wild rice (4.9 ± 1.9) was higher than that found in a maize inbred (A188; 2.3 ± 1.3) or a rice variety (ssp. *japonica*, var. Nipponbarre; 1.9 ± 1.0). Wild rice individuals evaluated were open-pollinated and rice and maize lines were inbred, but the number of fragments observed in wild rice is greater than expected by heterozygosity alone. The DNA content of wild rice is estimated to be twice that of rice using microspectrophotometry (Bennett et al. 1982). Estimates of the genome size via flow cytometry places rice at 430 Mbp/haploid cell (Arumunagathan and Earle 1991) indicating that the genome size of wild rice is approximately 860 Mbp per haploid cell. Part of the greater DNA content may be due to the greater repetitive DNA content, as cytological observations indicate extensive regions of pericentromeric heterochromatin (Grombacher et al. 1996). In situ hybridization experiments in wild rice have indicated that one pair of chromosomes possess NORs and a different chromosome has a 5S rDNA locus (unpublished results). This result is consistent with that reported in *O. sativa* ssp. *japonica* (Fukui et al. 1994). Thus, we found no cytological evidence to indicate a polyploidization event.




Fig. 1 Southern hybridization of total wild rice DNA to Gramineae species. All genomic DNA samples were digested with *HindIII*. Lane samples: 1 λ /*HindIII*; 2 *Z. palustris*, 5 μ g; 3 *Z. palustris*, 0.5 μ g; 4 blank; 5 blank; 6 *Avena sativa*, 5 μ g; 7 *Hordeum vulgare*, 5 μ g; 8 *O. sativa*, 5 μ g; 9 *Triticum aestivum*, 5 μ g; 10 *Zea mays*, 5 μ g

Table 1 Probe evaluation for signal detection, frequency of polymorphism (6–8 randomly chosen individuals of the mapping population, digested with four enzymes), and number of loci mapped

Type of probe	Number	Detected signal	Distinct band(s)	Non-distinct bands	Polymorphism (four enzymes)	Mapped
cDNA						
Rice	232	213 (92%)	181 (85%)	32 (15%)	114 (63%)	83
Oat	67	56 (84%)	47 (85%)	9 (14%)	35 (75%)	24
Barley	23	18 (78%)	14 (77%)	4 (22%)	7 (50%)	6
Maize	3	3 (100%)	3 (100%)	0	1 (33%)	1
Genomic						
Rice	56	32 (57%)	10 (31%)	22 (68%)	4 (40%)	5
Wild rice	4	4 (100%)	8 (100%)	0	2 (50%)	2

Fig. 2 Comparative maps of wild rice and rice. Vertical lines indicate linkage groups of wild rice and rice. RFLP markers are placed to the right and linkage distances to the left. Numbers in parentheses after markers on a wild rice linkage group denote the rice chromosome to which markers have been mapped. Selected marker loci are reproduced from the rice RFLP map (*O. sativa* × *O. longistaminata*; Causse et al. 1994). Other markers mapped in rice but for which the precise location is uncertain within *O. sativa* × *O. longistaminata* map are placed in parentheses on the rice linkage groups. Horizontal bars connect corresponding marker loci on respective linkage groups, dashed horizontal lines indicate that the marker has been mapped to a colinear linkage group, but that its relative placement is uncertain





Fig. 2



The comparative map

Markers were predominantly codominant and fitted expected segregation ratios. Codominant loci fit expected 1:2:1 segregation ratios in 105 of 116 cases. Dominant loci fit 3:1 expected segregation ratios in 8 of 10 cases. Our wild rice map is composed of 83 rice cDNA (RZ, C, and R), 24 oat cDNA (CDO), six barley cDNA (BCD), one maize cDNA (UMC), five rice genomic (G and RG), and two wild rice genomic (PAWG) markers. The wild rice genetic linkage RFLP map consists of 121 markers on 16 linkage groups spanning a genetic distance 1805 cM (Fig. 2). Marker density is an average of one per 15 cM among loci with a maximum distance of 35 cM. Linkage groups are composed of as few as two loci and as many as 18 loci. Two markers, RZ247 and RZ399, remain unlinked. Thus, the map remains unsaturated as there remain two unlinked markers and one more linkage group than the number of chromosomes pairs observed.

Greater recombination was found throughout the wild rice map than has been reported in homoeologous regions in rice. The reported total genetic distances in rice maps range from 1389 to 1484 cM (McCouch et al. 1988; Causse et al. 1994; Kurata et al. 1994). Pairwise comparison of colinear intervals between wild rice and rice indicates that 82% of the time the genetic distance in wild rice is greater, than or equal to, rice. Of those intervals that are greater, the average increase in recombination is 2.1-times (SD 4.1-times) that of rice.

We used probes detecting markers previously mapped in rice to efficiently construct and utilize our wild rice map in order to understand genome organization. Colinear linkage groups were assigned by detecting homosequential ordered loci on the basis of previous reported linkages in rice (Causse et al. 1994; Kurata et al. 1994). In two cases we observed inverted orders of loci. In both of these cases the reported rice linkage distance was less than 10 cM. A consensus homoeologous linkage group emerged for 14 of the 16 wild rice linkage groups. All but three of the markers (pAWG853, pAWG3309 and UMC305) have been previously mapped in rice. UMC305 has been mapped to maize chromosome #10, which is largely colinear to rice linkage group #4. Eleven of the twelve rice linkage groups were represented as homoeologous linkage groups in wild rice. The majority of loci mapped to the respective homoeologous linkage groups in wild rice (77 of 117). Homoeologous linkage groups were composed of as few as 27% (#9A) and as much as 100% (#1A, #7) of colinear loci. The per cent of the genome conserved between rice and wild rice, calculated on the basis of total adjacent colinear segments versus the total map length, is 82%.

Colinear markers were found representing all rice linkage groups except linkage group #12. This linkage group has also been the most difficult to establish colinearity among maize (Ahn and Tanksley 1993; Ahn et al. 1993), wheat (Van Deynze et al. 1995a), oat (Van Deynze et al. 1995b), and foxtail millet (Devos et al. 1998). Failure to establish colinearity in this study may

be due to the lack of polymorphic markers. We obtained segregation data for only three markers from rice linkage group 12. These markers map to wild rice linkage groups #9 and #11. RG869, for example, maps to linkage group #12 in rice, but to linkage group #11 in wild rice. The association of RG869 to linkage group #11 may be expected since linkage groups #11 and #12 have been found to be involved in translocations in rice (Moore et al. 1995). An explanation for the association of RG869 and CDO344 to linkage group #9 is not obvious, but the marker CDO344 was found mapped to the homoeologous segment of rice linkage group #9 in wheat (Van Deynze et al. 1995a).

Duplicate loci, linkages, and linkage groups

Some probe/enzyme combinations identified duplicate segregating loci. Ten probes detected duplicate loci and one probe (C356) detected loci in triplicate for a total of 23 mapped loci. We also attempted to map duplicate loci with probes that were polymorphic for a single locus with different enzyme combinations. We mapped an additional three duplicate loci in this manner. Duplicated loci were interspersed among all loci and appeared randomly distributed within 12 of the 16 linkage groups.

The comparative mapping effort may be confounded by duplicate loci. A number of loci did not map to homoeologous linkage groups (39 of 116). The reason these loci did not map to a homoeologous linkage group may be due to locus duplication. Because single probe-enzyme combinations were generally used to map loci, the total number of duplicate loci is underestimated since monomorphic bands go undetected as duplicate loci.

Duplicated linked pairs of loci were detected in two cases. Probes BCD450 and RZ912 detected duplicated linked pairs of loci on linkage groups #3 and #5, and probes RZ2 and CDO99 detected duplicated linked pairs of loci on linkage groups #9A and #15. BCD450 and RZ912 are expected to be linked (9.6 cM) but RZ2 and CDO99 segregate independently in rice.

Two conserved colinear linkage groups were found for a single rice chromosome in three cases, i.e., linkage groups #1, #4 and #9. These linkage groups consist of loci derived from the same rice linkage group, but which independently segregate from each other. These conserved colinear linkage groups are probably composed of duplicate loci, but also could be composed of colinear markers dispersed from the same rice linkage group. For linkage groups #1 and #9, duplicate loci detected with a single probe mapped to conserved colinear linkage groups. No duplicate loci were demonstrated for linkage group #4. While duplicated linkage groups #4B and #9A contain a substantial proportion of nonhomologous loci, duplicated linkage groups #1A and #1B are composed of 100% and 76% colinear loci, respectively.

Rearrangements

Evidence for possible rearrangements with regard to rice include colinear linked loci deriving from three different rice linkage groups. On both ends of wild rice linkage group #5 evidence for duplication and translocation has occurred with respect to rice. Segments corresponding to rice linkage groups #3 and #8 are observed linked to ends of wild rice linkage group #5. Genetic distance for the rearranged segment from rice linkage group #3 spans 38 cM on wild rice linkage group #5, whereas the homoeologous segment spans 49 cM in rice. Genetic distance for a rearranged segment from linkage group #8 spans 3 cM versus 1 cM in rice. Evidence for translocation is also observed from the presence of marker loci on linkage group #9 that are on rice linkage group #2. This segment spans 15 cM compared to 38 cM in rice. Interestingly, all three regions of possible translocation and rearrangement are composed of at least one duplicate locus that mapped to duplicated regions of the wild rice genome.

Relationship among other Gramineae maps

The wild rice map provides an opportunity to evaluate the unique and general attributes of genome organization among species in the subfamily Oryzoideae. No characteristic rearrangements similar to those of cereals in other subfamilies were evident, reinforcing the taxonomic alignment classification of the species near *Oryza*. Rearrangements of Panicoideae (maize, sorghum and sugar cane) and Pooideae species (wheat, oat and barley) relative to rice include rearrangements of linkage group #7. Among the Panicoideae and Pooideae, similar segments of linkage group #7 are rearranged, but to different homoeologous rice segments. Of taxonomic interest is whether the apparent ancient breakage of linkage group #7 is evident in the Oryzoideae. While we have only three markers on wild rice linkage group #7, markers are found linked in wild rice that span the two segments found rearranged in the Pooideae (wheat) and Panicoideae (maize). Thus, we provide support for an ancestral genome organization common to rice and wild rice. However, more markers are necessary to draw a conclusion regarding the syntenic homoeology of linkage group #7.

Conclusion

We report herein the first genetic linkage map of wild rice spanning 1805 cM and 16 linkage groups. Our map is still unsaturated as one more linkage group than chromosome pairs was observed and two unlinked markers remain. Because only two markers are found unlinked and only one extra linkage group is found, we anticipate that we are approaching complete map coverage. The map provides a foundation for future genetic mapping

projects. Since there have been few genetic studies in wild rice, our understanding of genome organization and the inheritance of important traits will be strengthened tremendously with a linkage map.

We undertook our comparative mapping effort to describe each of the 15 pairs of wild rice chromosomes in the context of rice. Fourteen of the wild rice linkage groups were described on the basis of colinearity to 11 of the 12 rice linkage groups. The three extra chromosomes in wild rice appear due to be complete or partial duplications of rice chromosomes #1, #4, and #9. Duplications may have occurred through nondisjunction of particular chromosomes or unreduced gamete production followed by hybridization and chromosome loss.

Comparative mapping illustrates both similarities and differences between the genomes of wild rice and rice. Evidence for rearrangements are found on linkage groups #5 and #9. All of these rearrangements are associated with duplicated loci. With increased map density, we suspect that we may find more rearrangements. Seven of the 14 homoeologous wild rice linkage groups have non-colinear markers on the ends of the linkage groups and more markers may indicate that some of these regions are associated with translocations. Wild rice also appears from cytogenetic observations to have a greater amount of pericentromeric heterochromatin. The duplication of particular chromosomes, duplicated and translocated segments, and the greater amount of repetitive DNA may account for the two-fold increase of DNA content of wild rice relative to rice.

Comparing the genome organization of wild rice relative to rice has far reaching implications. We anticipate that a comparative map will have great value for genetic and breeding studies as genome relatedness may extend beyond the colinear order of DNA markers to the conservation of order of expressed orthologous genes (Ahn and Tanksley 1993). Wild rice is a crop just undergoing domestication. Genes controlling domestication traits (seed size, shattering, day-length insensitivity) have been found to be conserved in colinear regions among grass species as diverse as rice, wheat and maize (Paterson et al. 1995). Many genes deleterious to the successful cultivation of wild rice (e.g. shattering, dormancy, *Bipolaris oryza* susceptibility) are still present in cultivated wild rice germplasm. A comparative map framework will allow mapped and cloned orthologous genes of rice to be immediately mapped in wild rice, providing streamlined access to marker loci for assisted breeding efforts. Orthologous genes will most likely have similar regulation, genetic mechanisms, and biochemical pathway homologs such that trait manipulation strategies may be extrapolated to wild rice. This becomes particularly valuable for alternative crops such as wild rice in which genetic mapping projects are just underway and research is on a relatively small scale.

Acknowledgements This research was funded in part by Grant No. USDA 95-34340-1605 (Purdue subcontract 593-0220-02), Minnesota Cultivated Wild Rice Council, and the U.S. Department of Agriculture – Agricultural Research Service Cooperative Agreement. This is Paper No. 981130080 in the Scientific Journal series, Minnesota Agricultural Experiment Station. The excellent technical assistance of Suzanne Livingston and Elizabeth Klein is gratefully acknowledged. The experiments reported herein comply with all governing U.S. laws.

References

- Ahn SA, Tanksley SD (1993) Comparative linkage maps of the rice and maize genomes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 90:7980–7984
- Ahn SA, Anderson JA, Sorrells ME, Tanksley SD (1993) Homoeologous relationships of rice, wheat, and maize chromosomes. *Mol Gen Genet* 241:483–490
- Arumunagathan K, Earle ED (1991) Nuclear DNA content of some important plant species. *Plant Mol Biol Rep* 9:208–219
- Bennetzen J, Freeling M (1993) Grasses as a single genetic system: genome composition, colinearity, and compatibility. *Trends Genet* 9:259–260
- Bennet MD, Smith JB, Heslop-Harrison JS (1982) Nuclear DNA amounts in angiosperms. *Proc R Soc Lond Biol Sci* 216:179–199
- Briggs S, Helentjaris T (1997) Plant genomics moves into the limelight. *Genome Res* 7:856–857
- Causse MA, Fulton TM, Cho YG, Ahn SA, Chunwongse J, Wu K, Xiao J, Yu Z, Ronald PC, Harrington S, 2nd, McCouch SR, Tanksley SD (1994) Saturated molecular map of the rice genome based on an interspecific backcross population. *Genetics* 138:1251–1274
- Coe EH (1993) Gene list and working maps. *Maize Genet Coop Newslett* 67:133–169
- Devos KM, Wang ZM, Beales J, Sasaki T, Gale MD (1998) Comparative genetic maps of foxtail millet (*Setaria italica*) and rice (*Oryza sativa*). *Theor Appl Genet* 96:63–68
- Duval MR, Peterson PM, Terrell EE, Christensen AH (1993) Phylogeny of North American oryzoid grasses as construed from maps of plastid DNA restriction sites. *Am J Bot* 80:83–88
- Elliott WA (1980) Wild rice. In: Fehr WR, Hadley HH (eds) *Hybridization of crop plants*. Am Soc Agron, Madison, Wisconsin, pp 721–731
- Feinberg AP, Vogelstein B (1984) A technique for radiolabeling DNA restriction fragments to a high specific activity. *Anal Biochem* 132:6–13
- Fukui K, Ohmido N, Khush GS (1994) Variability in rDNA loci in the genus *Oryza* detected through fluorescence in situ hybridization. *Theor Appl Genet* 87:893–899
- Grombacher AW, Everett LE, Porter RA (1996) Breeding wild rice. *Plant Breed Rev* 14:237–265
- Gould FW, Shaw RB (1983) *Grass systematics*. Texas A&M University Press, College Station, Texas
- Harushima H, Yano M, Shomura A, Sato M, Shimano T, Kuboki Y, Yamamoto T, Lin SY, Antonio BA, Parco A, Kajiya H, Huang N, Yamamoto K, Nagamura Y, Kurata N, Khush GS, Sasaki T (1998) A high-density rice genetic linkage map with 2275 markers using a single F₂ population. *Genetics* 148:479–494
- Izawa T, Shimamoto K (1996) Becoming a model plant: the importance of rice to plant science. *Trends Plant Sci* 1:95–99
- Kellogg EW (1997) Relationships of cereal crops to other grasses. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 95:2005–2010
- Kurata N, Nagamura Y, Yamamoto K, Harushima Y, Sue N, Wu J, Antonio BA, Shomura A, Shimizu T, Lin S-Y, Inoue T, Fukuda A, Shimano T, Kuboki Y, Toyama T, Miyamoto Y, Kirihara T, Hayasaka K, Miyao A, Monna L, Zhong HS, Tamura Y, Wang Z-X, Momma T, Umehara Y, Yano M, Sasaki T, Minobe Y (1994) A 300 kilobase-interval genetic map of rice including 833 expressed sequences. *Nature Genet* 8:365–372
- Lander ES, Green P, Abrahamson J, Barlow A, Daly M (1987) MAPMAKER: an interactive computer package for constructing primary genetic linkage maps of experimental and natural populations. *Genomics* 1:174–181
- Lincoln S, Daly M, Lander E (1992) Constructing genetic maps with Mapmaker/Exp 3.0. Whitehead Institute Technical Report, 3rd edn, Cambridge, Massachusetts
- McCouch SR, Kochert G, Hu ZH, Wang Z, Khush GS, Coffman WR, Tanksley SD (1988) Molecular mapping of rice chromosomes. *Theor Appl Genet* 76:815–829
- Moore G, Devos KM, Wang Z, Gale MD (1995) Cereal genome evolution. *Curr Biol* 5:737–739
- Murray MG, Thompson W (1980) Rapid isolation of high-molecular-weight plant DNA. *Nucleic Acids Res* 8:4321–4325
- Oelke EA, Grava J, Noetzel D, Barron D, Percich J, Schertz C, Strait J, Stucker R (1982) Wild rice production Minnesota Bull no 464, Agric Ext Serv, Univ Minn., St Paul, Minnesota
- Oelke EA, Porter RA, Grombacher AW, Addis PB (1997) Wild rice—new interest in an old crop. *Cereal Foods World* 42:234–247
- Paterson AH, Lin Y-R, Li Z, Schertz KF, Doebley JF, Pinson SRM, Liu S-C, Stansel JW, Irvine JE (1995) Convergent domestication of cereal crops to independent mutations at corresponding genetic loci. *Science* 269:1714–1718
- Saghai Maroof MA, Yang GP, Biyashev RM, Maughan PJ, Zhang Q (1996) Analysis of the barley and rice genomes by comparative RFLP linkage mapping. *Theor Appl Genet* 92:541–551
- Sambrook J, Maniatis T, Fritsch EF (1992) *Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual*. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, New York
- Suiter KA, Wendel JF, Case JS (1983) Linkage-1: a pascal computer program for the detection and analysis of genetic linkage. *J Hered* 74:203–204
- UMC RFLP Manual (1989) Maize Genetics Cooperative, University of Missouri Columbia, Missouri
- Van Deynze AE, Nelson JC, Yglesia ES, Harrington SE, Braga DP, McCouch SR, Sorrells (1995a) Comparative mapping in grasses. Wheat relationships. *Mol Gen Genet* 248:744–754
- Van Deynze AE, Nelson JC, O'Donoghue LS, Ahn SN, Siripoonwiwat W, Harrington SE, Yglesia ES, Braga DP, McCouch SR, Sorrells ME (1995b) Comparative mapping in grasses. Oat relationships. *Mol Gen Genet* 249:349–356